United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/520,429	07/13/2005	Eiichi Takahashi	122358	5260
25944 7590 01/29/2007 OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 19928			EXAMINER	
			LAM, TUAN THIEU	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2816	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		01/29/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Asticus O	10/520,429	TAKAHASHI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tuan T. Lam	2816				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 Fe	ebruary 2006					
<u> </u>						
<u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 7-9</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>4-6</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 January 2005</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1 🖂 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)		·				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/7/05,10/6/05</u> . 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/520,429

Art Unit: 2816

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to because figure 29 fails to label boxes 61-64. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Page 2

Art Unit: 2816

3. Claims 1-3 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Borkar et al. (USP 6,741,107).

Figure 3 of Borkar et al. reference shows a digital circuit having a delay circuit (17) for causing timing of a clock signal (14) to be variable, characterized in that an amount of delay in the delay circuit is stabilized by using a delay synchronizing loop (15) as called for in claim 1.

Regarding claim 2, figure 4 shows a driving current (current flows along transistors) in the delay circuit is controlled to cause the amount of delay in the delay circuit to be variable (control signal 19 controls current flow along the transistors thus the delay time).

Regarding claim 3, the delay time of the delay circuit is controlled by two reference voltages (logic high and low of the control signal 19) generated by a voltage generating circuit (38).

Regarding claim 7, the voltage generating circuit (filter 38) is inherently used MOSFETs.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Borkar et al. (USP 6,741,107) in view of Palusa et al. (USP 7,027,548).

Application/Control Number: 10/520,429

Art Unit: 2816

Figure 3 of Borkar et al. reference shows a digital circuit having a delay circuit (17) for causing timing of a clock signal (14) to be variable, characterized in that an amount of delay in the delay circuit is stabilized by using a delay synchronizing loop (15).

Figure 3 of Borkar et al. reference does not show the delay circuit is a curent mirror type circuit as called for in claim 8.

Figure 3 of Palusa et al. reference show a current mirror type delay circuit having fine adjustment with great precision. Therefore, it would have been obvious to person skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to replace Borkar et al's delay circuit with Palusa et al.'s delay circuit for the purpose of obtaining fine delay time with great precision.

6. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Borkar et al. (USP 6,741,107) in view of Fiscus (US 2002/0140471).

Figure 3 of Borkar et al. reference shows a digital circuit having a delay circuit (17) for causing timing of a clock signal (14) to be variable, characterized in that an amount of delay in the delay circuit is stabilized by using a delay synchronizing loop (15).

Figure 3 of Borkar et al. reference does not show stabilizing means for stabilizing the synchronizing loop at a time of booting as called for in claim 9.

Fiscus reference discloses a delay synchronizing loop (delay locked loop) having a reset features for resetting the delay locked loop to a known state (stable state) at the time the power is up (booting time). Therefore, it would have been obvious to person skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to include a reset feature into the circuit arrangement of Borkar et al. reference to reset the Borkar et al.'s delay locked loop to a known at a time of booting (power up) for the purpose of minimizing erroneous operation.

Art Unit: 2816

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 4-6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. In this regard, applicant's cited prior art has been carefully considered.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan T. Lam whose telephone number is 571-272-1744. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday (7:30 am to 6:00pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, TIMOTHY P. CALLAHAN can be reached on 571-272-1740. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Tuan T Lam Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2816

1/19/2007